UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/634,734	08/04/2003	Antti Kiiveri	915-008.012	6648
WARE FRESSOLA VAN DER SLUYS & ADOLPHSON, LLP BRADFORD GREEN, BUILDING 5 755 MAIN STREET, PO BOX 224 MONROE, CT 06468			EXAMINER	
			PERUNGAVOOR, VENKATANARAY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2432	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/07/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision	Application/Control No.	Reexamination	
from Pre-Appeal Brief	10/634,734	KIIVERI ET AL.	
Review		Art Unit	
Review	Gilberto Barron Jr.	2432	

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Re	view filed 1 May 2009.			
 Improper Request – The Request is improper a reason(s): 	nd a conference will not be held for the following			
 ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concu ☐ The request does not include reasons why a re ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Pr ☐ Other: 	eview is appropriate.			
The time period for filing a response continues to run fi the mail date of the last Office communication, if no No				
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been held. The application remains under appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date of the notice of appeal, as applicable.				
☐ The panel has determined the status of the cl Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	aim(s) is as follows:			
3. Allowable application – A conference has been Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits reapplicant at this time.				
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been action will be mailed. No further action is required by a				
All participants:				
(1) <u>Gilberto Barron Jr.</u> .	(3) Venkat Perungavoor, Examiner 2432.			
(2) Jung Kim, Promary Examiner 2432.	(4)			
/Gilberto Barron Jr./ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2432				